

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/645,368	Applicant(s) ROGERS, JAMES RAY
	Examiner Ruth C. Rodriguez	Art Unit 3677

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ruth C. Rodriguez, PTO. (3) _____.

(2) Kit M. Stetina, Applicant's representative. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

3,4,7,8,17-24

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Kit M. Stetina to propose an Examiner's amendment. Claims 3, 4, 7, 8 and 17-24 were previously withdrawn as being directed to patentably distinct species. The allowed claims are not considered generic for all the claimed species. Claims 3, 4, 7, 8 and 17-24 need to be canceled in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Kit M. Stetina approved the cancelation of the claims. Both parties agreed to make these changes through an Examiner's amendment.